

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/680,360	10/08/2003	William B. Burgoyne	4650 EXAMINER	
7.	590 08/18/2004			
Norman B. Rainer			MICHALSKY, GERALD A	
2008 Fondulac Road Richmond, VA 23229			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>,</b>	<del>-</del>		3753	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 1
	10/680,360	BURGOYNE, WILLIAM B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gerald A. Michalsky	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, —	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	u ala affara na mulimama amb		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>		ed in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.	
Goo the diagoned detailed office detail for differ	5. m.5 55. m.52 55 <b>p</b> .55 (161. 1556)		
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08 October 2003</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/680,360 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 2. over Brumfield in view of Fritts. Claim 1 is met by Brumfield except that in Brumfield the cylinder member is at the inlet end and the piston is at the outlet end, rather than the cylinder member being at the outlet end and the piston member being at the inlet end. It would have been obvious in view of Figures 1-2 of Fritts to modify the device of Brumfield such that the cylinder member is at the outlet end and the piston member is at the inlet end since Fritts discloses a piston and cylinder siphon initiator which functions equivalently. Regarding claim 3, the use of polyvinylchloride in the device of Brumfield would have been an obvious matter of design, well within the ambit of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Regarding claim 6, it would have been an obvious matter of design well within the ambit of a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a threaded connection for the barbed hose connection at 18 and 55 of Figure 2 of Brumfield. Regarding claims 7 and 9, it would have been obvious to substitute a coupling collar connection for the connection at 17 and 45 of Brumfield since applicant asserts no criticality in the particular type of connection.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,360 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumfield in view of Fritts as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Helander. This claim is considered met as above except that the check valves of Brumfield are ball valves instead of flapper valves. It would have been obvious in view of flapper valve 26 of Helander to substitute flapper valves for the ball check valves of Brumfield since flapper valves would function equivalently.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-1049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerald A. Michalsky Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753